

<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
10/616,623	LU ET AL.	
<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
Phallaka Kik	2825	

**Status of Application:** *pending*

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Time: 1:37PM

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

If Yes, provide a brief description:

## None

1-20

None

## See Continuation Sheet

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Phallos K. J.  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner indicated that the claims are subjected to restriction requirement wherein Group I invention includes claims 1-9, 15-20, drawn to method/computer useable medium for estimating clock uncertainty between a receiving cell and a launching cell of a net, and group II invention includes claims 10-14, drawn to a process of optimizing a clock net in the form of a tree, wherein the claims are related to each other as combination and subcombination useful together but can have separate utilities. In response, Applicant's Representative provisionally elected group I, claims 1-9, 15-20 with traverse .